FILED

SEP 29 2015

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

Plaintiff,

TRIREME MEDICAL, LLC (f/k/a TRIREME

MEDICAL, INC.), EITAN KONSTANTINO, OUATTRO VASCULAR PTE LTD., and QT

VASCULAR LTD. (f/k/a QT VASCULAR

Defendants.

12

v.

PTE. LTD.),

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

Case No. 4:12-CV-3393-YGR ANGIOSCORE, INC.,

VERDICT FORM

Trial Date: September 14, 2015

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Case No. 4:12-CV-3393-YGR

VERDICT FORM

WE, THE JURY, UNANIMOUSLY FIND AS FOLLOWS, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS:

QUESTION 1:

Has AngioScore proven that it is more likely than not that the Chocolate PTA Balloon Catheter products include parts that are identical or equivalent to every requirement of Claim 1 of the '119 Patent?

	U.S. Patent No. 7,691,119		
Claim		YES	NC
[1a]	An angioplasty balloon catheter comprising: a catheter shaft carrying an inflatable/deflatable balloon having a proximal end and a distal end;	Yes	
[1b]	and a non-deployable radially expansible stent comprising a hypo tube disposed over the balloon and comprising a proximal end; a distal end;	Yes	
[1c]	and at least three longitudinally aligned, radially-spaced struts,	Yes	
[1d]	wherein each strut extends from the proximal end to the distal end	,	M
[1e]	and prior to radial expansion has one or more bends that allow longitudinal expansion of the strut to accommodate radial expansion of the stent upon inflation of the balloon;		•
[1f]	wherein the distal end of the hypo tube is attached to the distal end of the catheter shaft		
[1g]	and the proximal end of the tube is attached to the proximal end of the catheter shaft		
[1h]	and the stent is made of a material having a memory so that the stent radially collapses and the struts longitudinally shorten upon deflation of the balloon.		

QUESTION 2:

If the answers to ALL parts of Question 1 above are "YES," then answer the following question with respect to Claim 2, Claim 3, Claim 8, and Claim 9. If not, SKIP to Question 7.

Has AngioScore proven that it is more likely than not that the Chocolate PTA Balloon Catheter products include parts that are identical or equivalent to the following additional requirements of Claims 2, 3, 8, and 9 of the '119 Patent?

U.S. Patent No. 7,691,119	YES	NO
Claim 2: The angioplasty balloon of claim 1 wherein the stent is made of an alloy of		
nickel and titanium.		
Claim 3: The angioplasty balloon of claim 1 wherein the struts of the stent have a diameter of from 0.003" to 0.010".		
Claim 8: The angioplasty balloon of claim 1 wherein the struts of the stent are connected to each other intermediate the proximal end and distal end by connectors that include a bend which allows longitudinal expansion of the connectors to accommodate radial expansion of the balloon.		
Claim 9: The stent of claim 8 wherein the connectors in the struts comprise sinusoidal bends.		

1	Answer the following questions (Questions 3-6) if you answered "YES" in Question 2				
2	above with respect to Claim 2, Claim 3, Claim 8, an	d/or Claim 9.	If not, SKIP to Questio	n 7.	
3					
4	QUESTION 3 (DIRECT INFRINGEMENT):				
5	Has AngioScore proven that it is more likely th	an not that the	specific Defendant listed	Į	
6	below made, used, sold, or offered for sale within the U	United States, o	r imported into the Unite	ed .	
7	States, the Chocolate PTA Balloon Catheter products?				
8		Yes	No		
9	TriReme Medical, LLC				
10	Quattro Vascular PTE LTD.	***************************************			
11			and and an ordered an ordered and an ordered an ordered and an ordered an ordered an ordered an ordered and an ordered an ordered and an ordered an ordered an ordered and an ordered an ordered and an ordered and an ordered and an ordered an ordered an ordered and an ordered anomalised and o		
12	QT Vascular LTD.	Value de la Companya			
13	Eitan Konstantino				
14					
15					
16	QUESTION 4 (INDUCING PATENT INFRINGEME	NT):			
17	With respect to each of the Defendants listed be	elow, has Angio	Score proven that it is n	nore	
18	likely than not that the listed Defendant actively induce	ed infringement	of any of the asserted		
19	claims of the '119 Patent?				
20		Yes	No		
21	TriReme Medical, LLC				
22	Quattro Vascular PTE LTD.				
23	QT Vascular LTD.	- Andrews			
24	Eitan Konstantino				
25	Little Polistication				
26					
27					
28					
			Case No. 4:12-CV-3393	-VGR	

1					
2	QUESTION 5 (CONTRIBUTORY INF	RINGEMENT	"):		
3	With respect to each of the Defer	ndants listed b	elow, has Angi	oScore proven that it	is more
4	likely than not that the listed Defendant	contributed to	the infringeme	nt of any of the asser	ted
5	claims of the '119 Patent?				
6					
7			Yes	No	
8	TriReme Medical, LL	LC C			
9	Quattro Vascular PTF	E LTD.		manufacture (Sept. 1988 Sept. 198	
10	QT Vascular LTD.		<u> </u>		
11	Eitan Konstantino				
12					
- 1					
13	OUESTION 6 (WILLFUL INFRINGEN	ЛЕNT):			
	QUESTION 6 (WILLFUL INFRINGEN Has AngioScore proven by clear		ng evidence tha	nt the specific Defend	dant's
14	Has AngioScore proven by clean	r and convinci			
14 15	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you	r and convinci	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant	
14 15 16	Has AngioScore proven by clean	r and convinci	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you	r and convinci	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC Quattro Vascular PTE LTD.	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC Quattro Vascular PTE LTD. QT Vascular LTD.	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC Quattro Vascular PTE LTD. QT Vascular LTD.	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC Quattro Vascular PTE LTD. QT Vascular LTD.	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC Quattro Vascular PTE LTD. QT Vascular LTD.	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC Quattro Vascular PTE LTD. QT Vascular LTD.	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Has AngioScore proven by clear infringement has been willful? (If you of Questions 3, 4, and 5, check "Not App TriReme Medical, LLC Quattro Vascular PTE LTD. QT Vascular LTD.	r and convincincle checked the bolicable for the characters of the	ox "NO" for a	specific defendant fendant below.)	on <i>all</i> of

1	QUESTION 7 (INVALIDITY – OBVIOUSNESS):
2	Have Defendants proved by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following
3	asserted claims is invalid for obviousness? Answer "YES" (for Defendants) or "NO" (for
4	AngioScore) for each asserted claim.
5	
6	Claim 2 of the '119 Patent:
7	• 1
8	Claim 3 of the '119 Patent:
9	
10	Claim 8 of the '119 Patent:
11	\bigvee
12	Claim 9 of the '119 Patent:
13	
14	·
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5 Case No. 4:12-CV-3393-YGR

1	Answer the following question only if you:				
2	(1) answered "YES" with respect to any Defendant in Questions 3, 4 and/or 5;				
3	AND				
4	(2) answered "NO" in response to Question 7 for at least one claim you answered				
5	"YES" to in response to Question 2.				
6	Otherwise, SKIP to the end and sign and date the form.				
7					
8	QUESTION 8 (DAMAGES):				
9	What amount do you find should be awarded as damages to AngioScore for Defendants'				
10	infringement?				
11					
12	Answer:% of \$11,269,000 in total sales of Chocolate PTA Balloon				
13	Catheter products through June 30, 2014.				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23	Dated: 4/29/15				
24	Mayan Loffel MARJAN ZOLFAGHARI				
25	Presiding Juror's Signature Presiding Juror's Printed Name				
26					
27					
28	-6- Case No. 4:12-CV-3393-YGR				